## Table of Contents

### CSI-RI Characteristics
- Health Plan Attribution Breakdown by Payer ................................................................. Page 3
- Health Plan Attribution Over Time ..................................................................................... Page 3
- Practice Distribution and Access ......................................................................................... Page 4
- Practice and Provider Growth Over Time ........................................................................ Page 4
- Patients Demographics CAHPS Surveys .......................................................................... Page 5

### Developmental Contract Requirements ........................................................................... Page 6

### Evaluation of Pilot Program
- Key Findings from Evaluation of Pilot Program .................................................................. Page 7
- Improvement in NCQA Scores in Pilot Program ................................................................. Page 7
- Increased Provider Satisfaction in Pilot Program .................................................................. Page 7

### Medicare Multi-Payer Advanced Primary Care Practice Evaluation ............................... Page 8

### CSI-RI Internal Performance Data
- Target 1: Structural Measures .......................................................................................... Page 9
- Target 2: Clinical Quality Measures .................................................................................. Page 10
- Target 2: Patient Experience Measures ............................................................................. Page 11
- Target 3: Utilization Measures .......................................................................................... Page 12
CSI-RI Health Plan Characteristics

Attribution Breakdown by payer (Q1 2014 data)

- TUFTS: 1.4%
- Medicare FFS: 7.1%
- BCBS: 43.7%
- UHC: 29.3%
- NHP: 18.5%

Payers
- Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Rhode Island (43.7%)
- United Healthcare (29.3%)
- Neighborhood Health Plan of Rhode Island (18.5%)
- Medicare FFS (7.1%)
- Tufts Health Plan (1.4%)

About Plan and Practice Attribution Data
- Practices attribute their entire patient panel as their practice.
- Health plan attribution is based upon claims data. Plan specific attribution methodologies will place patients at only one practice.
- Uninsured patients, gaps in coverage, care from different providers/practices, and other factors contribute gaps between practice and plan attribution counts.

Patients Attributed to CSI-RI
CSI-RI Practice Characteristics

Practice Distribution and Access Across Rhode Island

- 48 practices (303 providers)
  - 5 Pilots (2008)
  - 8 Practice Expansion #1 (2010)
  - 3 Practice Expansion #2 (2012)
  - 32 Practice Expansion #3 (2013)
- Covering approximately 200,000 Rhode Islanders
- 14 Community Health Centers

Practice and Provider Growth Over Time

**Practice Growth 2008-2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of CSI-RI Practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Provider Growth 2008-2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of CSI-RI Providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CSI-RI Patient Characteristics
Based on 2014 CSI-RI CAHPS-PCMH Survey Results

**Age (years):**
- 18-24: 4%
- 25-34: 18%
- 35-44: 20%
- 45-54: 24%
- 55-64: 11%
- 65-74: 10%
- 75+: 4%

**Sex:**
- Male: 36%
- Female: 64%

**Race:**
- White: 83%
- Black or African American: 3%
- Asian: 4%
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: 2%
- American Indian or Alaska Native: 0%
- Other: 3%

**Education:**
- 8th grade or less: 7%
- Some high school, but did not graduate: 17%
- High school graduate or GED: 11%
- Some college or 2-year college: 34%
- 4-year college graduate: 31%

**Overall Health Rating:**
- Excellent: 4%
- Very good: 13%
- Good: 35%
- Fair: 32%

**Overall Mental Health Rating:**
- Excellent: 3%
- Very good: 24%
- Good: 29%
- Fair: 32%
- Poor: 24%
Practices payment is tied to performance on contractual targets:

• Target 1: Structural Metrics
  – After Hours of Care
  – NCQA Recognition
  – Nurse Care Manager
  – Outpatient Transitions of Care

• Target 2: Quality Metrics
  – Clinical Quality Metrics (from practice EHR)
  – Patient Experience (CAHPS PCMH survey)

• Target 3: Utilization Metrics (from all-payer database)
  – All-Cause Inpatient Hospital Admissions
  – All-Cause Emergency Department Visits

• Currently in development and being tested by the Pilot Sites are Nurse Care Manager Metrics:
  – NCM interactions and interventions with 3 categories of patients: high-utilizers, patients with complex or poorly controlled chronic conditions, and patients referred by outside organizations
Evaluation of Pilot Program

Key Findings from Evaluation of Pilot Program

- Performed by Meredith Rosenthal, PhD, and her team at Harvard.
- After 2 years, the CSI Rhode Island pilot program was associated with a significant reduction in ambulatory care sensitive emergency department visits¹.
- The participating groups made substantial progress in meeting the NCQA Physician Practice Connections—Patient Centered Medical Home criteria during a 2-year period, with notable gains in prospective population management and in tracking and coordination of care¹.
- Increased provider satisfaction over the first two years of the pilot².

**Improvement in NCQA Scores¹**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard (Total Possible Points)</th>
<th>Range of Points Among CSI Practices</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access and communication (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.25-7.75</td>
<td>7.75-9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient tracking and registry functions (21)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.0-15.75</td>
<td>18.0-21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care management (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0-13.0</td>
<td>18.0-20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient self-management support (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0-2.0</td>
<td>4.0-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic prescribing (8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0-4.5</td>
<td>3.75-7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test tracking (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0-7.0</td>
<td>13.0-13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral tracking (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0-4.0</td>
<td>4.0-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance reporting and improvement (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0-14.25</td>
<td>13.5-15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced electronic communication (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0-1.25</td>
<td>0.0-1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall points (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.0-56.0</td>
<td>88.0-92.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Increased Provider Satisfaction²**

![Graph showing provider satisfaction](image)

Medicare Multi-payer Advanced Primary Care Practice (MAPCP) Evaluation

Under the MAPCP Demonstration, CMS joined state-sponsored initiatives to promote the principles that characterize the patient-centered medical home. Rhode Island is one of eight states participating, amongst Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Vermont.

Please note:
The MAPCP Evaluation is currently in process. RTI is the national evaluator.
CSI-RI Internal Performance Data

Target 1: Structural Measures

As of June 2014, 85% of the practices in CSI have achieved NCQA recognition. Of this 85%, all sites are NCQA Level 3, the highest level of recognition.

In October 2013, 32 new sites were accepted in CSI-RI as either Start-Up or Transition. In April 2014, sites had their first opportunity to advance in the Developmental Contract. Every site who wished to advance was able to meet the necessary deliverables and do so.

All sites have:
- hired a Nurse Care Manager
- established compacts with high-volume specialists and
- developed an outpatient Transitions of Care policy by the necessary deadline

Number of sites moving to Performance 1 on 4/1/14

- Sites moving to Performance 1: 20
- Total number of 2013 Expansion Sites: 32
CSI-RI Internal Performance Data

Target 2: Clinical Quality Measures

The graph shows CSI-RI performance on the 7 CSI-RI contractual quality measures for periods Q1 2013 – Q1 2014. All measures are self-reported by practices, from their EHR, and validated by the Practice Reporting Committee.

- **Adult BMI** - Percentage of patients age 18-64 or 65+ whose calculated BMI is either in the normal range or is above or below the normal range and have a documented follow up plan within the measurement year.
- **DM A1c Good Control** - Percentage of diabetic patients (Type 1 or 2) age 18-75 with controlled disease (having an A1c value less than 8.0%)
- **DM BP Control** - Percentage of diabetic patients (Type 1 or 2) age 18-75 with a blood pressure value less than 140/90
- **DM LDL Control** - Percentage of diabetic patients (Type 1 or 2) age 18-75 with well controlled LDL cholesterol (having LDL-C value less than 100 mg/dL)
- **HTN BP Control** - Percentage of patients age 18-85 years of age who had a diagnosis of hypertension and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled during the measurement year (having a BP value of <140/90)
- **Tobacco Cessation Intervention** - Percentage of tobacco users in the total Active Patient population, given tobacco use.
CSI-RI Internal Performance Data

Target 2: Patient Experience (CAHPS) Measures


What Does the Data Tell Us?
- CSI demonstrated small to moderate improvements from 2012 to 2014 on all of the patient experience domains
- Anecdotally, CSI targets appear to have driven large gains in the performance of some practices
- Domain composite scores mask substantial variability across practices and providers on the individual items that comprise the domains
- It may be more difficult to achieve high performance scores in some domains (e.g. Self-Management Support) than others (e.g. Office Staff)
- Practices and providers vary across domain composite measures in terms of their performance relative to peers, with some practices scoring high relative to peers on some measures and low relative to peers on other measures

The graph shows CSI-RI performance on the 6 domains of the CAHPS PCMH survey, a validated survey of the patient experience in a patient-centered medical home.
- Presented as domain composite scores
  - Weighted averages of questions related to specific areas
  - Domains: Access, communication, shared decision-making, self-management support, comprehensiveness (behavioral health), office staff, information, and coordination of care
  - Top box scores are displayed - Typically a single top or best choice for a yes/no question or a 4-point Likert scale
- Scores on the Access, Communication, and Office Staff domains are used for contractual purposes. Practices must meet Access and either Communication or Office Staff to be eligible for incentive payments in customer.
CSI-RI Internal Performance Data

Target 3: Utilization Measures

Number of Hospital Admissions in Rolling Year Per 1000 Member Months
Periods for Years Ending 12/2009-6/2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>CSI</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun-09</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-09</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>10.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-10</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-10</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-13</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inpatient Stays (Per 1,000 member-months)

Relative Change of 7.2% for CSI sites

What does the data tell us?

- Cohort 1, which consists of the most advanced practices, decreased inpatient stays by 7.2% relative to the comparison group and were eligible for the utilization incentive payments.
- For the CSI practices and for comparison practices, all-cause inpatient stay rates visit rates demonstrate a wave-like pattern over time, making it difficult to definitively determine CSI’s impact on utilization.
- The CSI practices have consistently demonstrated lower rates of inpatient hospital utilization.
- In addition to the CSI intervention, a variety of factors, including differences in patient population and geographic location, could help to explain differences in utilization rates between the CSI practices and comparison practices.
- Additional analyses are underway to examine differences in utilization rates between the CSI practices and comparison practices.

The graph shows CSI-RI performance on hospital inpatient admissions from the most recent quarter:

- All-Cause Inpatient admissions data comes from all-payer claims database including BCBSRI, NHPRI, UHC commercial, Medicaid Managed Care, and Medicare Advantage claims. The most recent data available is from Quarter 2, 2013.
- *Medicare and Medicaid FFS will be added to the database in 2014*
- CSI sites are grouped into cohorts based on their stage of development in the Developmental Contract.
- Cohorts are compared to a comparison group that includes patients who do not belong to any patient-centered medical home practice.
- Practices are eligible for utilization incentives if, as a cohort, they decrease inpatient stays by 5% relative to the comparison group.